

On Being Focused

Creation Strategy

The BPW Hypothesis

A Personal Creator

- From a creationist perspective, the creatures must reflect the image of the Creator.
 - What other image could they reflect?
- God is focused on creation.
 - We are focused on procreation.
- Does God procreate?
 - She does, but he does not.
 - Therein lies the essence of Creation.
- The pantheon is spawned by the Matrix.
- As goes physics, so goes Creation.
 - Creation is about coherence.
 - Coherence entails some sort of least action principle.
 - The BPW reflects that principle.
 - The pantheon channels the potency of the Matrix into a BPW.
 - The X factor is the prime ingredient, which we suppose is love, as in the ideal of procreation.

The Impersonal

- Pantheism is an ode to the impersonal cosmos.
- The personal cannot exist without the impersonal.
 - An important and more subtle point is the converse.
- Art is the struggle between these two elements.
 - It records the mastery of the one by the other.
- Pantheism is the apotheosis of polytheism.
 - It is the Aqua Regia of metaphysics.
 - It is hardly gratuitous in the realm of spirit.
 - Surely it should be celebrated, and it is.
- Pantheism is the religion of tolerance, peace and stability.
 - There is no utopianism, and not much enthusiasm or evangelism.
 - It is the passive aspect of spirit.
- The impersonal is what we abstract from the personal
 - Atoms and numbers are such
 - Each abstraction retains its holistic potency as we see with DNA and the Mandelbrot.
 - It connects and separates persons, as well as the creatures from the creator.
 - The Matrix is the animist ground of being.
 - It is the proto-personal; the cosmic protoplasm.

Self-organization

- The ouroboros is the cosmic bootstrap and proto-self.
 - Any cycle is in that image.
 - Wherein a self-identity is implied.
 - Each must have a head and tail
 - With the Mb, those are the mu-atom and filament.
- The cycle is a primitive organism.
 - It is a channeling and focusing agent.
 - Such is the dialectic.
- Indra's necklace, the network of being is a functional alignment of cycles.
 - All in service to a personal telos.

The Governing Principle

- As it stands we have just one Matrix, which spawns a pantheon of creators.
- It would be natural to suppose there would be a plethora of independent creations.
 - This is the Many-World hypothesis.
 - This is certainly the way creativity functions down here.
 - But there is a caveat concerning self-independence. There is no such thing.
 - Every known self is a social self. There is no such thing as self-identity. This point is barely controversial.
 - The zodiacal pantheon associated with the Matrix can hardly constitute an exception.
- Another way to look at this is that immaterial existence can only be a relational existence.
 - The same is true for ‘material’ existence, it is just less obvious.
 - To exist is to relate.
 - Non-cooperative selves simply cease to exist.
- In a neutral sense, the ultimate organizing principle is survival.
 - The next rung on the organizing ladder would quite logically and simply be something akin to what we call ‘love’.
 - This is what I refer to as the X-factor.
 - This factor transcends the pantheon and Matrix.
 - But there is another caveat.
 - We tend to think of love only in interpersonal terms.
 - Exceptions: self-love and agape.
 - Since there is no such thing as abstract existence, any coherent pantheon must provide for the embodiment of love.
 - Thus do we have both Cupid and Christ among many other examples.
 - It is just thus that the creative energy of the Matrix and pantheon is focused through love into the BPW.
 - This is my hypothesis and the governing principle of whatever coherence I possess.

Addendum -- 7/2/05

- By way of a summary of the BPWH –
 - (w.r.t. focusing, see the last question)
 - What's a Creator to do after Creation, I mean way after? Do you eventually just leave it go?
 - An obvious option would be to recycle it, but this would be counter to the BPW hypothesis.
 - The last option is redemption. That's apokatastasis. That's the eschaton.
 - The only question is when.
 - The only answer is, at the best possible time. Which is?
 - Which is when we have done just about everything we can possibly do down here. When we are physically prepared to leave the planet. When we are able to destroy the world, but before we actually do. When the secret of Creation can no longer be kept. In other words, right about now, folks.
 - The next question is whether it is possible for there to be a creator.
 - This would seem difficult to deny, especially if we take mind seriously. Instead of a primordial material stuff, why could there not be a primordial mental stuff, i.e. Matrix, with at least as much self-organizing potential as this alleged material stuff?
 - The last question here is how many creations.
 - In an immaterial, monist, relational world, there is no rational alternative to the BPW hypothesis. Any network of being would be self-centered. There would be an organic functionality. Chaos, to exist, would be parasitic upon that organic network. At most, there would be a functional network of realms, much as we see with the Mandelbrot, with everything in its place. There is every indication that our world is spiritually the densest world, i.e. the center of spiritual gravity.